Hong Kong

Part B

Article 3: Mercury supply sources and trade

1. Does the party have any primary mercury mines that were operating within its territory at the date of entry into force of the Convention for the party? (Para. 3.)
   - Yes
   - No
   If yes, please indicate:
     a) The anticipated date of closure of the mine(s): (month, year) OR
     b) The date upon which the mine(s) closed: (month, year)
     c) *Total amount mined _______ metric tons per year

2. Does the party have any primary mercury mines that are now in operation that were not in operation at the time of entry into force of the Convention for the party? (Para. 3, para. 11.)
   - Yes
   - No
   If yes, please explain.

3. Has the party endeavoured to identify individual stocks of mercury or mercury compounds exceeding 50 metric tons and sources of mercury supply generating stocks exceeding 10 metric tons per year that are located within its territory? (Para. 5.)
   - Yes
   - No
   a) *If the party answered Yes to Question 3 above:
      i. Please attach the results of your endeavour or indicate where it is available on the internet, unless unchanged from a previous reporting round.
      The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HK SAR) has commissioned a research consultancy regarding the implementation of the Minamata Convention on Mercury, including identification of the mercury inventories in Hong Kong. The results show that there are no stocks of more than 50 metric tons of mercury or mercury compounds, nor the mercury supply sources that produce more than 10 metric tons of stocks per year in Hong Kong. In addition, the Government of HK SAR re-inquired relevant stakeholders about the amount of mercury inventories in 2018. No stakeholder has indicated to us that there are more than 50 metric tons of mercury or mercury compounds in stock.
      ii. Supplemental: Please provide any related information, for example on the use or disposal of mercury from such stocks and sources.
      b) If the party answered No above, please explain.

4. Does the party have excess mercury available from the decommissioning of chlor-alkali facilities? (Para. 5. (b.))
   - Yes
   - No
If **yes**, please explain the measures taken to ensure that the excess mercury was disposed of in accordance with the guidelines for environmentally sound management referred to in paragraph 3 (a) of article 11 using operations that did not lead to recovery, recycling, reclamation, direct re-use or alternative uses. (Para. 5 (b), para. 11.)

5. *Has the party received consent, or relied on a general notification of consent, in accordance with article 3, including any required certification from importing non-parties, for all exports of mercury from the party’s territory in the reporting period. (Para. 6, para. 7.)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes, exports to parties</th>
<th>☐</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, exports to non-parties:</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If **yes,**

a. and the party has submitted copies of the consent forms to the secretariat, then no further information is needed. If the party has not previously provided such copies, it is recommended that it do so. Otherwise, please provide other suitable information showing that the relevant requirements of paragraph 6 of article 3 have been met.

Supplemental: please provide information on the use of the exported mercury.

b. If exports were based on a general notification in accordance with article 3, paragraph 7, please indicate, if available, the total amount exported and any relevant terms or conditions in the general notification related to use.

6. Has the party allowed the import of mercury from a non-party?

| ☐ | No |
| ☐ | Yes |

If **yes,** and the party has submitted copies of the consent forms to the secretariat, then no further information is needed. If the party has not previously provided such copies, it is recommended that it do so. Otherwise, please provide other suitable information showing that the relevant requirements of paragraph 8 of article 3 have been met.

Supplemental: Please provide information on the quantities and countries of origin.

| ☐ | The importing party has relied on paragraph 7 of article 3. |
| ☐ | Yes |
| ☐ | No |

If yes, or if the party relied on paragraph 7 of article 3, did the non-party provide certification that the mercury is not from sources identified under paragraph 3 or paragraph 5 (b) of article 3? (Para. 8.)

| ☐ | Yes |
| ☐ | No |

If **yes,** the party has submitted its general notification of consent, applied paragraph 9 of article 3, and provided information on the quantities and countries of origin.

If **no,** please explain.
**Article 11: Mercury wastes**

1. Have measures outlined in article 11, paragraph 3, been implemented for the party’s mercury waste? (Para. 3.)
   - ☐ Yes
   - ☐ No

   If yes, please describe the measures implemented pursuant to paragraph 3, and please also describe the effectiveness of those measures.

2. *Are there facilities for final disposal of waste consisting of mercury or mercury compounds in the party’s territory?*
   - ☐ Yes
   - ☑ No
   - ☐ Do not know *(please explain)*

   **If yes,** if the information is available, how much waste consisting of mercury or mercury compounds has been subjected to final disposal under the reporting period? Please specify the method of the final disposal operation/operations.